



Counselor, Social Worker & Marriage and Family Therapist Board

50 West Broad Street, Suite 1075
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5919
614-466-0912 & Fax 614-728-7790
<http://cswmft.ohio.gov> & cswmft.info@cswb.state.oh.us

State of Ohio Counselor Professional Standards Committee Meeting January 19, 2012

Members Present: Dr. Terri Hamm, Dr. Otha Gilyard, and Mrs. Mary Venrick

Staff Present: Mr. James Rough, Mr. Bill Hegarty, Mrs. Rena Elliott, Ms. Tracey Hosom and Mr. Simeon Frazier

Guests Present: None

Venrick called the meeting to order at 9:25am

Discussion/Approval of Agenda

Venrick added "Anonymous Applicant #1"

Gilyard moved to approve the agenda, as presented. Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

Executive Committee Report

Venrick reported that a new Social Worker appointee, Jennifer Hadden, was appointed, replacing Jennifer Riesbeck Lee. Other appointments are moving slowly.

Dr. McGloshen (MFT) was approved for reappointment, no others have been.

There will be no CEU meeting Thursday, as they do not have the necessary attendance to meet the requirement of quorum for all meetings.

Regarding the budget issues, Mr. Rough is trying to get things in place for the Continuing Education position starting in March 2012.

At 9:35 a.m., Gilyard had to leave to attend the Social Worker committee meeting for a vote. Quorum was needed in an investigative issue, and one of the members had to abstain. Gilyard returned at 9:39 a.m.

Regarding the statute changes for the new House bill, Rep. Barbara Sears will have the third draft of the bill, soon. As of Thursday, there is not, yet, a new draft.

Regarding Senate Bill 2, the Common Sense initiative, it was signed by the governor and some provisions came into effect at the beginning of the year. Prior to filing the rule, it must, first, go to an oversight group to review it. This is a new step.

Remediation Plans

Tina Cotto

Hamm shared that she'd like to see her take an NCE prep course in conjunction with her plan to make it approvable.

Hamm moved to notify Cotto that taking an NCE prep course in conjunction with the remediation plan would make it approvable. Gilyard seconded. There was no further discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Gilyard left at 10:05 a.m. and returned at 10:20 a.m.

Hosom entered at 10:07 a.m.

Tingle entered at 10:17 a.m.

Counselor Trainee Issues

Applicant: Nov-001

The Committee agreed that, after reviewing the supplementary information submitted by the applicant (items from the school counseling clinic program that the applicant completed). They stated that the applicant still needs to satisfy and be complete with the legal process before being allowed to become a CT.

The recommendation is that the applicant secures a third party to provide treatment (outside of the school). After completion of the treatment, secure an attorney, and petition the courts to have them state that the applicant has satisfied the court requirements. With this, the CT application may be considered further.

The committee, then, stated that many interns are able to finish their internships without CT status.

Hegarty entered at 10:30 a.m.

Rough left at 10:35 a.m.

Investigations Report

Hegarty thanked Cooper for taking over as the investigative liaison.

He passed out cases reviewed since the last board meeting.

Venrick moved to close all cases as presented for the reasons given on the sheet Hegarty distributed. Gilyard seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed, unanimously.

Hegarty asked for a theoretical discussion to assist him on a case with a non-sexual boundary issue. He asked the committee to educate him on the Zohn (more flexible) vs. Pope (less flexible) philosophy of boundaries.

Hamm shared that she doesn't, in her teaching of ethics, discuss theory, only practice and application. She shared that her teachings align with Pope.

Neither Venrick nor Hamm recalled Zohr in their teachings.

Hamm suggested, possibly, contacting a counselor that is in the classroom that has been around for a while, to discuss it.

Hegarty requested the committee go into Executive Session to discuss elements of the cases pending litigation. The committee went into Executive session via a roll call vote, with "Yes."

The committee entered Executive Session at 10:38 a.m. and returned from Executive Session at 11:03 a.m. via a roll call agreement.

Consent Agreements

Denise Flint

Gilyard moved to accept the consent agreement based on information presented in the document.

Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Marsha Mannon

Gilyard moved to accept the consent agreement based on the information presented in the document.

Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Dede Denbow

Gilyard moved to accept the consent agreement based on the information presented in the document.

Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Notice of Opportunity for a Hearing

Case 2011-1

Gilyard moved to move forward with case 2011-01 based on information presented in the Hegarty's document.

Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Hegarty, then, revealed that this case number belongs to Laura Eshelman.

Case 2011-49

Gilyard moved to issue a notice of opportunity based on the allegations listed in Hegarty's document. Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Hegarty, then, revealed that this case number belongs to Deborah DeLeon.

Goldman Review

Michelle Henry

Gilyard moved to continue to deny her license, as she has no degree in counseling. Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Hearings

Hegarty shared that in February, there will be a hearing. The committee will not attend. It will be in front of a hearing officer.

The investigators left at 11:10 a.m.

The committee went to lunch at 11:30 a.m., and returned from lunch at 12:30 p.m.

The committee reviewed applications and programs until 1:25 p.m.

Five Year Rule Review

4757-11-04

Rough discussed that this involves hearing procedures. Hegarty and he didn't see anything that needed to be changed when it was reviewed.

Venrick shared that the committee agreed to go along with Hegarty and Rough's recommendation.

4757-13-01

A-4-(c) i thru ii

There are no changes. It was noted that if CORE "conforms to" (not be "accredited by") the current CACREP standards then removal of CORE will be fine.

4757-13-02

With regards to the references to 13-01 and 13-06

There are no changes.

4757-13-03

There is confusion between the PCC rule between paragraphs (4) and 4(a). Because "at least 1500 hours" is used, twice, in two different ways for different reasons, it's suggested to re-write paragraph (4) to, "A minimum of two years of supervised work shall be in a clinical setting, which shall have a primary focus on the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders."

Paragraph (A)(4)(a)

States that:...of which a minimum of 50% of the work consists of face-to-face client contact involving the delivery of clinical counseling services....

4757-13-05

There is no change.

4757-13-06

Paragraph (7) reference to paragraphs (B)(1) to (B)(8) should be changed to (B)(1) to (B)(7).

4757-13-07

CACREP standard requires face-to-face individual supervision or triadic (defined as 2:1) supervision (our rule states group supervision is fine for up to 6:1). This makes it clear to conform to CACREP.

Course Worksheet

The committee agreed that the Course Worksheet form should be modified to indicate the number of hours earned at each university attended, if the applicant accumulated hours at more than one school.

4757-15-01

Paragraph (A)

Changed opening sentence to begin “A professional counselor may render to individuals... “

Delete second sentence since diagnosis and treatment are covered in paragraph (D).

4757-15-02

Paragraph (D)

Regarding training supervision; “per rule 4757-17-01 of the Administrative Code” was added at the end of the last sentence.

4757-17-01

Training supervision shall be individual or group (up to 6) for PCs. definition was added for CTs “Should be face-to-face individual or triadic”

Training supervision definition was added for CTs “Should be face-to-face or triadic.” Triadic defined as 1 supervisor and 2 supervisees in new paragraph (A)(4).

Paragraph (C)(4)

Instead of “shall sign off” it was replaced with “is responsible for”
Change diagnosis to diagnoses.

Paragraph (E)(1)(e)

“A month” replaced with “two months.”

Paragraph (F)(1)(d)

Paragraph was changed to add “obtain [three years and at least](#) four thousand five hundred hours”

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

**State of Ohio
Counselor Professional Standards Committee Meeting
January 20, 2012**

Members Present: Dr. Terri Hamm, Ms. Mary Venrick, and Dr. Otha Gilyard

Staff Present: Mrs. Rena Elliott, Mr. Bill Hegarty, Ms. Tracey Hosom, Mr. Jim Rough and Mr. Simeon Frazier

Guests Present: Glen Karr

Venrick called the meeting to order at 9:16 a.m.

Discussion and Approval of Agenda

There were no changes.

Gilyard moved to accept the agenda. Hamm seconded

There was no additional discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

Approval of November '11 Minutes

In the Correspondence Section, discussing Nancy Forsyth, Hamm confirmed that "it's" should read "the courses taken for clinical experience met the requirements," to ultimately read: "During discussion, Hamm verified that the courses taken for clinical experience met the requirements is confirmed in her file."

Executive Director's Report

Rough reported that Dr. Thomas McGloshen was reappointed. He confirmed that the governor's office has plenty of counselor candidates applying for the board, but none have, yet, been appointed.

Legislation has, still, not been introduced. He has a February 7th appointment with a legislator and Carolyn Townsend, an OCA lobbyist.

A new staff position was approved, and OBM approval is pending.

Bob Nelson brought up that it's good to focus on what they're doing, occupationally as stated on the professional disclosure statement, as a way to check competency.

Venrick discussed a licensee that hadn't attended a specialty specific workshop in over 10 years, and agrees that it would be beneficial to look at this closer.

Rough suggested adding it to the new positional duties.

Rough shared that, due to the common sense initiative, as of 1/1/12, he must file rules before filing with JCARR, to confirm the board is getting input from associations and other public entities. He's setting up a specialized distribution list to notify, also, he setting up a Facebook and Twitter page for distribution.

Hosom entered at 9:30 a.m.

Rules filed are in effect regarding fees for CEUs and new wall certificates. Fees go into effect on April 1st.

Karr confirmed that he received his notification postcard that changes are already on the website.

Progress has been made on the five year rule review. Counselor Professional Standards Committee rules have been addressed.

The Ohio ethics commission has financial disclosure forms that must be completed. This is, now, available, online.

The board must complete Rough's annual review.

The State is starting an IT security piece and Rough volunteered to go first.

We're working with the engineering board to cut costs. Both boards are planning operating on one server.

The AASCB; Rough emailed a link to a copy regarding David Kappa's presentation regarding "Reciprocity." Discussing barriers with people moving state to state (Reciprocity means a written agreement between states that if you are licensed in one state the other state will grant you a license there). A better term would have been endorsement, which is what we have in rule 4757-13-06.

The main points discussed the different sets of criteria and their priorities.

Rough explained the endorsement policies that are being changed in many other state boards of counseling.

Karr asked, regarding the testing rules of Pearson (testing) will only license psychologists to administer tests. (MMPI should be available) and asked what was being done to address it.

Rough stated that he can't make them, but they should be able to, as it's in their scope of practice.

Hosom confirmed this.

Hegarty entered at 9:45 a.m.

Rough recommended that Karr write a letter, stating that a master's level counselor may do this in Ohio via their scope of practice and it sounds discriminatory.

Karr shared that it sounds like an antitrust issue.

Gilyard asked for a copy of the American Counseling Association letter on licensure that Rough referenced.

Rough shared that the information exchanges among the 10 states that attended were very valuable and would be even better with more states attending, often, those missing are the ones that could use the information the most.

Rough discussed with CACREP president, that it's important for everyone to get the clinical coursework to meet the clinical standards.

The University of the South, a NW Theological school, are thinking about establishing a program in Ohio, a satellite branch/online, etc. Discussions with the Board of Regents have begun.

Hamm shared that not meeting the faculty criteria seems to be the biggest roadblock for CACREP accreditation.

Rough shared that they're often misperceptions regarding how small the gap is from where they are to where they must go.

Gilyard and Rough discussed how METHESCO students are willing to do more work because they want the additional theological courses outside of the counseling requirements.

He asked Rough to speak of the NBCC conference and his experience. The committee will discuss if Hamm will go in August, as NBCC will pay for one administrator and one Board member to attend.

Karr asked the status of the State agency consolidation Task Force. Rough shared that it does not appear to be moving and that a primary sponsor is no longer in the legislature.

Hegarty, Hosom & Rough left at 10:07 a.m.

Hamm shared that she needs clarification on the IQ testing and if the testing companies would actually allow the masters level counselor to buy and administrator the test.

Karr stated that the website has a list of who is eligible. They'll have Rough check for eligibility. He'll report on passage rates later in the day, but reported the cut-off score, naturally, is the same for everyone.

Counselor Application Coordinator's Report

Elliott reported that Frazier processed 544 Counselor Trainee/Clinical Resident applications and that she had sent 123 Exam packets.

In November, there were 30 candidates for the NCE. 29 passed, and 1 was unsuccessful. There were 37 candidates for the NCMHCE. 24 passed, and 13 were unsuccessful.

In December, there were 50 candidates for the NCE 47 passed and 3 were unsuccessful. There were 37 candidates for the NCMHCE 24 passed and 13 were unsuccessful.

Rough entered at 10:16 a.m.

Glenn Karr left at 10:18 a.m. to check tests.

Elliott licensed 78 PCs and 55 PCCs, since the last board meeting.

Gilyard offered concern that, in December, more than a third of the NCMHCE candidates didn't pass.

They will check with Rough regarding whether or not the discussion, regarding the passage rate of the NCMHCE.

Hamm asked Rough to secure the answer, correctly. They'll check the Pearson (publisher) concerning the Wechsler IQ exam.

Elliott left at 10:17 a.m.

Approval of PC Applicants

Hamm moved to approve the list of PC applicants. Gilyard seconded. There was no additional discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

Approval of PCC Applicants

Gilyard moved to approve the list of PCC applicants. Hamm seconded. There was no additional discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

Old Business

Rule 4757-13-04

This discusses doctoral (not masters level) applicants.

The committee discussed that the third bullet point is not valid, otherwise, the draft is correct.

Hamm shared that in other states, there is no requirement for the five clinical classes that Ohio requires. Other states have training, but it's not in a separate course in their education.

She also shared that by giving the license, there's more incentive to get the classes, since the license could, then, be taken away by not completing it.

Gilyard shared that it becomes a huge problem and a poor model for faculty, not to be licensed, and for them to take their course from one of the colleagues.

Hamm moved to accept the rule as presented. Gilyard seconded. There was no additional discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

New Business

There was no new business.

Correspondence

Fiona Burky

The committee agreed to have Rena send a letter that they value face to face interaction. They stated that they can, also, contact Rhonda Franklin, if she'd like a listing of free/inexpensive CEUs.

Elliott entered at 11:00 a.m.

Renee' Wango

Hamm shared that the committee must have her complete the counselor course worksheet and include her transcript, as she has not applied, and the board has no file for her to see where she stands, academically.

Wango is a graduate (early 1990s) and isn't a PC.

Regardless, the board doesn't grant internship credit for a school.

Rough entered at 11:15 a.m.

Rough shared that the Pearson Wechsler website states that: the IQ test is a C level test, licensure or certification to practice in a field in a state OR have a doctorate degree allows a properly trained professional counselor to issue the test. Hamm will call Pearson to confirm that a master's level license may use the test.

Hamm confirmed with Rough that the proposed introduction to 4757-13-04 and bullet point #3 would be removed.

Maryann Meniru

Hamm shared that the 1600 hours that weren't recommended should be made up and it would be helpful (but not required) to have them done in a clinical setting.

Rough shared that the experience must be acceptable to the committee.

Hamm stated that the committee doesn't have all the information, and it's dangerous to override the supervisor, especially since its 2 supervisors that have the issue.

Rough shared that if the supervisors listed what could be done to make it acceptable, and that, if the applicant were to do it, it may, then, be reasonable to count the hours.

Hamm shared that she agrees, but that's not the case with this applicant. The first supervisor said that it may be acceptable if the applicant addressed issues listed.

The second supervisor didn't outline the issues that could be corrected or what needs to be corrected, the supervisor, simply, said "no."

They will deny the request and notify Meniru.

The committee continued to work on programs and CEUs until the meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m.

Mary Venrick, Chair